1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332) United States Attorney  BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division  BENJAMIN T. KENNEDY (CASBN 241350) Assistant United States Attorney  150 Almaden Boulevard San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5059 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066 Email: benjamin.t.kennedy@usdoj.gov				
9	Attorneys for the United States of America				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION				
13					
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 07-00598 JF				
15	Plaintiff,  ) STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM				
16 17	v. ) APRIL 2, 2008 TO APRIL 23, 2008 FROM ) THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §				
18	Defendant.				
19	)				
20					
21	The parties stipulate that the time between April 2, 2008 and April 23, 2008 is excluded				
22	under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, and agree that the failure to grant the requested				
23	continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective				
24	preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Finally, the parties agree that the				
25	ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the				
26	public, and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.				
27					
28					

	Case 5:07-cr-00598-JF	Document 29	Filed 04/09/2008	Page 2 of 3		
1	18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).					
2						
3	DATED: April 4, 2008	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney				
4		Sinted States Fitteriney				
5	/s/ BENJAMIN T. KENNEDY					
6		Assistant United States Attorney				
7						
8	/s/ MANUEL ARAUJO					
9	Assistant Federal Public Defender					
10						
11						
12						
13						
14 15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

**ORDER** 

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between April 2, 2008 and April 23, 2008 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Furthermore, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C.

§ 3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 4/8/08 nunc pro tunc to 4/2/08

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE